



Form PTO-1449

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION IN AN APPLICATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Docket Number (Optional)	Application Number
PSP 201	10/790,324
Applicant	JULIUSZ POLCZYNSKI
Filing Date	Group Art Unit
Feb 27 2004	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
JL	5924567	07/20/99	WENYU M	A45D	44/18	
NW	3280417	6/25/68	WEYLER, SEYMOUR			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translation YES	NO
DW	081816001/14/98		EPO	A46B	17/04		
DW	223354901/0/91		GBRITAIN	A46B	17/04		
DW	2780254123/98		FRANCE	A46B	11/00		
DW	200085210/12/00		GERMANY	A46B	11/00		

OTHER DOCUMENTS

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

EXAMINED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE**

Applicant: Juliusz Polczyński.

Serial No: 10/790,324

Filing Date: February 27, 2004

Title: SINGLE USE COMBINATION FOR THE
HYGIENE OF THE MOUTH CAVITY

Examiner: Law Office:

June 2, 2004

Attorney's docket No.: PSP201ID

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 CFR § 1.97.**

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Applicant is submitting the following patent documents:

Country:	Doc.number:	Class:	Relevant Date:
US	6,062,233	A45D 44/18	May 16, 2000
US	6,397,860	_____	June 4, 2002
US	6,004,059	_____	December 21, 1999
US	5,605,756	_____	_____
US	5,440,774	_____	August 15, 1995

<i>DC</i>	US	5,304,009	
<i>n</i>	US	4,890,732	January 2, 1990
	US	4,521,128	A46B 11/02 January 4, 1985
	US	4,265,560	A46B 11/02 May 5, 1981
	US	4,030,845	
	US	4,582,075	April 15, 1986
	US	4,039,261	August 2, 1977

This statement is provided to inform the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of known art. In view of the many possibilities in which references can form a basis for a combination of references possibly alleged to be a basis for an obviousness type rejection in an Office Action, it is deemed to be virtually impossible for an applicant to recite references for each and every element forming part of a claim and, furthermore, in view of an unknown individual element identity precision versus state of the art, elements with some difference in element identity.